

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

**SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY
LABORATORY CO., LTD.**
398, Hase, Atsugi-shi,
Kanagawa
243-0036
Japan

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PCT7538-7539

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/018073

International filing date (day/month/year)

29.11.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

02.12.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Int.Cl. **G09F9/30**

Applicant

SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Date of completion of this opinion

17.03.2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Japan Patent Office

3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan

Authorized officer

Kosuke Minami

Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3274

2M

9306

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/018073

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/018073

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1-32</u>	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>1-32</u>	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-32</u>	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

The following documents have been considered for the purpose of this report:

- D1 = JP 10-209463 A (Matsushita Denkisangyou CO., LTD.) 1998.08.07, whole document (Family:none)
D2 = JP 06-163584 A (Nihon Itagarasu CO., LTD.) 1994.06.10, whole document (Family:none)
D3 = JP 03-085530 A (Sharp CO., LTD.) 1991.04.10, whole document (Family:none)
D4 = JP 07-333648 A (Mitsubishi Denki CO.,LTD.) 1995.12.22, whole document (Family:none)
D5 = JP 2001-250777 A (Semiconductor Energy Laboratory CO., LTD.) 2001.09.14, Paragraph[0079], Figure 8 & AU 2231201 A & CN 1437761 A & TW 473800 B & US 6787407 B2 & WO0148797 A1
D6 = JP 2002-057341 A (Mitsubishi Denki CO.,LTD.) 2002.02.22, Figure 2 & CN 1338658 A & EP 1180716 A & TW 544906 B & US 6587165 B2
D7 = JP 2003-318192 A (Seiko Epson CO.,LTD.) 2003.11.07, whole document & US 2003219934 A1
D8 = JP 11-251259 A (Seiko Epson CO.,LTD.) 1999.09.17, whole document (Family:none)

The subject matter of claim 1-32, particularly, "an insulating layer having a first opening; a first conductive layer in the opening; and a second conductive layer on the insulating layer and the first conductive layer, wherein the first conductive layer is wider and thicker than the second conductive layer" is neither disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.